Agent Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE)

PROMETHEUS METHODOLOGY
Software Engineering

“The computer science discipline concerned with developing large applications.”; process, notation, ...

Typical activities in developing a system:
- Requirements
- Analysis
- Design
- Implementation
- ...
Agent Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE)

- Software Engineering …
  - “We know how to do SE, how apply to agents?”

- … of Agent Oriented systems
  - “We know about agents and AI, how engineer large (agent) systems?”

- Relatively recent development (first AOSE workshop was in 2000)
Why not traditional SE?

- High level design differs for different programming paradigms, different abstractions:
  - Procedural: What does it do?
  - OO: What objects are there? (data+operations)
  - Agent: What **goals** are there? What are the **relationships** between agents?
Software Engineering

- Some principles
  - Modularity
  - Abstraction
- Process of developing software
  - Requirement analysis, design, testing,…
- Software Architecture
Agents

Definition

“An agent is a system situated within and part of environment that senses that environment and acts on it, over time (states), in pursuit of its own agenda (goals) and so as to effect (as in ‘modify the environment’) what it senses in the future.”

Agent properties

- Autonomy—has control over its behavior
- Re-activity—continuously observes and react to changes in its environment in timely fashion
- Pro-activity—goal oriented
- Sociality—communicate in a high-level way
Agents

- Contributors to agent research
  - Artificial intelligence
  - Object systems
  - Human-computer interface design
- Multi-agent systems
  - Agent communication languages
  - KQML & FIPA
The Problem

- Agents are a powerful technology with demonstrated potential
- But …
- Agent systems still scarce - why?

⇒ Agents hard to develop
Agent-based computing is a synthesis of both Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Computer Science.

An agent is an encapsulated computer system that is situated in some environment and that is capable of flexible, autonomous action in that environment in order to meet its design objectives.

Agents are being advocated as a next generation model for engineering open, complex, distributed systems:

- **Open**: components can join or leave the dynamic operating environment and the operating conditions change in unpredictable ways.
- **Complex**: the software has a large number of components that interact following complex interaction protocols; every agent has a partial view of the environment and there is no centralized control.

We need the right abstractions, methodologies and instruments to correctly engineer applications of this kind.
Agent-Oriented Software Engineering [Jen00]

- The case for agent orientation to software engineering
  - **Agent-oriented decomposition** is an effective way of partitioning a problem space
  - **Agent ‘mindset’** (agent, interactions, and organizational relationships) are a natural means for modeling complex systems
Objects in OOP vs. Agents

- **Similarities**: Objects (like agents) have
  1. systems with encapsulated states.
  2. Certain methods are associated with the object’s state.
  3. Methods support interaction with the environment.
  4. Different objects communicate by message passing.
Objects in OOP vs. Agents

- Differences:
  1. Objects do not usually control their own behaviour.
  2. Agents can initiate their own actions. Object generally do not.
  3. Objects do not have a social behaviour.
  4. Agents do not invoke methods in one another.
  5. Interacting agents usually have their own individual thread of control.
  6. Agents can use more than just simple messages to communicate.
1. Objects are associated with their class. Agents can have multiple associations which may also change at any time.

2. Emergence can occur from groups of agents but not from objects.
# OOP vs AOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Unit</th>
<th>OOP</th>
<th>AOP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters defining state of basic unit</td>
<td>Unconstrained</td>
<td>Beliefs, commitments, capabilities, choices…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process of computation</td>
<td>Message passing and response methods</td>
<td>Message passing and response methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of messages</td>
<td>Unconstrained</td>
<td>Inform, request, offer, promise, decline…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints on messages</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Honesty, consistency…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Message Encoding

Message:
(inform
:sender a1
:receiver a2
:content (-----)
:language(---)
:ontology ("symbol")

Envelope

ACL

Content Language

Transport Protocol
Agent Communication Language

- The information content is communicated through the message types.
- Ex: (request (… a service)
  :sender OrderAgent (service consumer)
  :receiver OperationPlanningAgent (provider)
  :content(action d (produce 50 parts A (product ABC))(due date: Dec 12, 2000)) (service description)
  :protocol fipa-request
  :reply-with order 132
)
AOSE

To make developing intelligent agents easier (without losing the power of the BDI model).

“Easy things should be easy, hard things should be possible”

Target audience: professional developers or senior undergrads

BDI = belief, desire, intention
The Prometheus Methodology
(Padgham & Winikoff)

- Supports the development of *intelligent* agents
- “start-to-end” support
- Detailed process and products
- Evolved out of practical industrial and pedagogic experience
- Has been used
- Hierarchical structuring: scales to large designs
- Cross checking

Prometheus was the wisest Titan. His name means “forethought” and he was able to foretell the future. Prometheus is known as the protector and benefactor of man. He gave mankind a number of gifts including fire.
(http://www.greekmythology.com/)
Prometheus

- Designed for ease of use, with packaged deliverables:
  - System specification
  - Architectural design
  - Detailed design
Prometheus (Components)

- Prometheus models actors, agents, and resources (data stores)
- Includes BDI percepts, plans, goals.
- Actions, capabilities, messages, and protocols
- Roles
- Scenarios

From Prometheus Design Tool Guide
The agents are defined and their responsibilities are presented.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role Schema: Manufacturer Agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Manufacturers represent providers of components to the Assembly plant consumer of resources (parts) provided by suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protocols:</strong> FIPA REQUEST and FIPA ITERATED CONTRACT NET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsibilities:</strong> To respond to requests to supply the required components, to initiate request for needed parts, to transfer the required components, to receive and consume resources, to be aware of suppliers of parts and their capabilities, to participate in negotiations about the terms of acquiring and/or supplying a specified resource</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this stage "percepts" and "actions" that characterize the agent's interaction with the environment are defined. 

**Functional descriptors** that contain a name, description, actions, percepts, data used, and produced and a description of interactions are defined. 

**Use cases:** contain an identification number, a natural language overview, an optional field context, the scenario, a summary of all the information used, and a list of small variants.
An Example: Server Mgmt

- Strategic multi-agent negotiation for data allocation servers:
  - Can only store a single copy of a document
  - Handles user queries for documents

  - Negotiate about which server stores the file
    - Based on
      - Distance
      - Storage space remaining
      - Utility functions (Server-query expectation, capacity, time, etc)

  - Goal is to explore the negotiation protocols
    - Jason + Agentspeak was BDI platform of choice
System Specification

- Artifacts:
  - Scenario Overview Diagram
    - Relates Use cases
  - Analysis Overview Diagram
    - Relates use cases to users and roles
ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN:

During this stage the following activities are performed:
- Identification of which agents should belong to the MAS
- Identification of groups of agents which share the same functionalities
- Identification of the **agent acquaintance diagram** which defines the links among interacting agents
Architectural Design

- Artifacts:
  - Agent Role Grouping
  - Goal Overview Diagram
  - Agent Acquaintance Diagram
definition of the **agent descriptors**, characterized by name, description, cardinality, functionalities, reads data, writes data, interacts with

- definition of the **events**, messages and shared data objects

- identification of the **system overview diagram** which ties together agents, events and shared data objects

- definition of the **interaction diagrams** and interaction protocols using AUML

---

**SYSTEM OVERVIEW DIAGRAM**

S.A. = shop assistant
W.M. = warehouse manager
C.R. = customer relations
Ca = cashier
Artifacts:
- System Overview Diagram
- System Roles Diagram
- Data Coupling Diagram
- Agent Detail
- Agent Capability Diagram
DETAILED DESIGN

Focuses on developing the internal structure of each agent and how it will achieve its task within the system

- The developer must define capabilities, internal events, plans and detailed data structures
- **Capability descriptor:** contains inputs and produced events, a description of functionality, a name, interactions with other capabilities, inclusions and a reference to read and write data
- **Agent overview diagram:** shows capabilities within an agent
- **Capability overview diagram:** takes a single capability and describes its features
- The final design artifacts are the individual plan, event and data descriptors
- **The Plan descriptor** provides an identifier, the triggering event type, the plan steps, a context and a list of data read and written
Agent Detail Diagram

Capabilities

For single agent
Tool support for Methodology
Prometheus -> Brahms
Tropos – Top-down STS Modelling for Software Engineering
Tropos

- Tropos is an Agent-Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE) Methodology
  - Based on Eric Yu's i* framework.

- Used extensively in Trento for:
  - Security (Si_star)
  - Goal Oriented Requirements Engineering
  - Risk management
Tropos (Cont'd)

- Designed for guiding software development through 4 key phases:
  - Early requirements (stakeholder dependencies)
  - Late requirements (system dependencies)
  - Architectural design
  - Detailed design

- Based on Agent-based concepts (BDI, Goals, etc) throughout all phases. AOP-centric.

- Implementation phases uses these design artifacts

From Bresciani et al, 2002
Tropos (Cont'd)

- Key Components:
  - Actor
  - Goal
  - Plan
  - Resource
  - Dependency
  - Capability
  - Belief
  - Other extensions
    - eg.

- Key Models:
  - Actor model
  - Dependency model
  - Goal model
  - Plan model
  - Capability model
Tropos (Components)

From JACriM project, 2009
Final Thoughts

Comparing Prometheus and Tropos Methodologies

Similarities:
- Both provide an end-to-end method for system development
- Both proceed from Early requirements to Detailed design.
- Both can handle goal models

Differences:
- Prometheus is more holistic
  - Goal based and non goal based agents can be designed
  - Has a nice design tool
  - Encourages use of iterative AOSE development
  - Approach is readily understandable by undergrad students
  - Approach is already tested, and personal favorite.

- Tropos is better suited for GORE approaches
  - Models convertible to PDDL (XML language)
  - Representation of plans
  - Formal specification
  - But, Largely untested in industry scenarios
  - More intermediate steps before full system functionality